

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/536,137	03/28/2000	Daniel A. Benton	FA0881 US Na	5926	
23906	7590 08/23/2002				
E I DU PON	E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	L PATENT RECORDS CENTER EY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
4417 LANCA WILMINGTO	ASTER PIKE ON, DE 19805		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2171		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

			111
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/1
Office A - 1' Occurrence	09/536,137	BENTON ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam-Linh T. Nguyen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Monday, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	August 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	• •	e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	L.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2000</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	.	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00 3	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carey et al (U.S. 5,389,149) in view of David C. Wong (U.S. 5,432,904).
- ♦ As per claim 11, 13, 1, 3, 5, 7,
 - Carey discloses a paint system that requires user or technician to enter "a
 vehicle number and a paint code number" into the system (See Col. 3 line 6 9
 of Carey).
 - Carey also teaches about "searching a database of refinish colorcoat" See Col. 3
 line 11 14 of Carey. It is well known to define a "memory" of Carey as a
 "computer-readable data file", and can act as a database.
 - And "identifying the refinish colorcoat" See Col. 3 line 14 17 of Carey.
 - It is well known in the art to define a "vehicle number" is a "vehicle identification number. Carey does not clearly teach about the vehicle identification number. However, David C. Wong (U.S. 5,432,904) discloses a auto repair system that comprises "a display monitor" (Col. 3 line 9 12 of Wong), the input data is the vehicle identification number which includes the "model year, site of manufacture, date" (See Col. 5 line 55 62 of Wong). It would have been obvious to one with

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Wong into the system of Carey, because the vehicle identification number is a unique number that include such information about the vehicle. Therefore, this information is associated with the paint code to produce an accuracy colorcoat for the vehicle.

- ♦ As per claim 12, 2, 4, 9 10,
 - "A computer acting under a program" See Col. 3 line 6 14.
- ♦ As per claim 6, 8,
 - Carey teaches that if the paint code is found, the next step is to energize the pump to prepare for the action (Col. 3 line 14 24 of Carey). This is corresponding to the step of "preparing an actual refinish colorcoat".

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Arie Boers (U.S. 6,234,218) discloses a semi-automated automotive paint dispensing system.
 - Robert Lowery (U.S. 6,400,906) discloses an adaptive paint matching system and method.

Application/Control Number: 09/536,137

Art Unit: 2171

Martens et al (U.S. 6,411,887) discloses a method and apparatus for remotely

controlling motor vehicles.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cam-Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-

305- 1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am to

4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308- 1436. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Cam-Linh Nguyen

Art Unit 2171

LN

YNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER Page 4